



LLV's Connection to Relative Frequency (Probability's Naïve Definition)

The coin toss example in the previous slide gives a mathematical justification for the frequency interpretation of probability (naïve probability) introduced earlier in the course.

Let  $A \subset \mathcal{S}$  be an event. Define the indicator random variables

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \text{ occurs on trial } i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(P(A))$ , so  $E(X_i) = P(A)$ . The sample mean becomes

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \frac{\#(\text{times } A \text{ occurs in } n \text{ trials})}{n}$$

which is the relative frequency of event  $A$  (exactly what we previously defined as (naïve) definition of probability). Hence, by the LLN,

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} P(A).$$

or less formally put,

|                                 |                                                 |                                     |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| naïve definition of probability | number of times an event repeats the experiment | Automatic Definition of Probability |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

Example: Suppose  $\mathcal{S} = \{H, T\}$  and  $A = \{H\}$ . Then  $X_i = 1$  if the coin comes up heads and  $X_i = 0$  if the coin comes up tails. Then

**Strong Law of Large Numbers**

**Strong Law**

Under the usual assumptions of WLLN, we have

$$s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9, s_{10}, s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{14}, s_{15}, s_{16}, s_{17}, s_{18}, s_{19}, s_{20}, s_{21}, s_{22}, s_{23}, s_{24}, s_{25}, s_{26}, s_{27}, s_{28}, s_{29}, s_{30}, s_{31}, s_{32}, s_{33}, s_{34}, s_{35}, s_{36}, s_{37}, s_{38}, s_{39}, s_{40}, s_{41}, s_{42}, s_{43}, s_{44}, s_{45}, s_{46}, s_{47}, s_{48}, s_{49}, s_{50}$$

$a_1 = P(\bar{X}_n \rightarrow P(A)) = 1$

**Monte Carlo Integration**

Suppose we want to compute

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[a,b]}(x) dx$$

Let  $\mathcal{U} = (U_1, \dots, U_n) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n f(a + (b-a)U_i) \right] = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(a + (b-a)U_i) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

By the WLLN,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(a + (b-a)U_i) \xrightarrow{P} \int_a^b f(x) dx$

This is called Monte Carlo estimation of integrals. Draw  $n$  random points on the interval, evaluate the function  $f$  at them, and then average. The larger the  $n$  the closer the average to the true integral.

Given observations:  
 $x_1, \dots, x_n$

define the empirical CDF:

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(x_i \leq x)$$

Interpretation:

$\hat{F}_n(x)$ : fraction of observations  $\leq x$ .

For fixed  $x$ , the indicators  $\mathbf{1}(X_i \leq x)$  are i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean  $F(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)$ . Thus, by the LLN:

$$\hat{F}_n(x) \rightarrow F(x).$$

The empirical CDF approximates the true CDF.

*Empirical CDF is an "consistent" estimator for  $F$*

### Central Limit Theorem

**Th theorem (CLT)**

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be iid random variables with  $E(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ . Then, every  $n$ ,  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{D} N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$

$F_{\bar{X}_n}(x) \xrightarrow{D} F_{N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})}(x)$   $\Rightarrow P(\bar{X}_n \leq x) \approx P(N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}) \leq x)$

**Interpretation**

We previously learned that  $E(\bar{X}_n) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ , which means that the fraction  $\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$  is the standardized version of  $\bar{X}_n$ . CLT says that for large  $n$ , the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$  is approximately normal:  $\bar{X}_n \approx N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ . This is especially important because we do not assume any distribution for  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ; we let the sample mean follow a normal distribution for large  $n$ . This says that Normality emerges universally from averaging.

**Notation (Convergence in Distribution):** This phenomenon is also known as convergence in distribution, and is denoted by  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{D} N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ .

$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{D} N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}) \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\bar{X}_n \leq x) = P(N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}) \leq x)$

**CLT Application: Normal's Approximation to Binomial**

A better approximation uses a continuity correction:

$$P(X \leq k) \approx P\left(Y \leq k + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

where

$$Y \sim N(np, (1-p)).$$

**Idea**

The correction accounts for the width of discrete bins.

Video: [Binomial to Normal](#) | Chapter 10.1: Data Science | Statistics 101

**Poisson to Normal**

Suppose:

$$X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda).$$

For example:

Yet averages still become approximately Normal.

| University                                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| The CLT explains why Normal distributions appear everywhere. |

[Course Overview](#)
[Chapter 1: Data Overview](#)
[Chapter 2: Data Overview](#)
[Exercise 2.10](#)

---

**Summary**

- ▶ The LLN says averages stabilizes.
- ▶ Monte Carlo integration is justified by the LLN.
- ▶ The empirical CDF approximates the true CDF.
- ▶ The CLT says standardized sums/averages become approximately Normal.
- ▶ Standard errors decrease like  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .
- ▶ Normal approximations apply to Binomial and Poisson distributions.

$\mathbb{P}(A) = 1 \not\Rightarrow A = \Omega$   
 $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \not\Rightarrow A = \emptyset$

$$= 0$$